

Paper presented by Prof. Luca Obertello at the first conference on Quantum Biophysical Semeiotics and the New Renaissance of Medicine - Riva Trigoso - GE - December 19th-20th, 2010

1) I am not an expert in physical theories, nor in the philosophy of science. From what little I understand, I would say that in contrast to the simplistic mechanistic / materialist theories and similars (assuming a preconceived idea and then outline the nature, and in particular of human cognitive capacity), the quantum system seems to me to reflect more faithfully and awareness the complexity of reality, which is not deterministic because it corresponds to our desire for simplification of rationalism. The reality is complex, and follows along streets of coherence and freedom: the freedom of coherence (higher and broader than deterministic), and consistency in freedom.

2) The effects prove it, as a renewed rule of nines. The adoption of quantum physics in the understanding of biological phenomena of life leads to results at all obvious, as long as there is capacity and willingness to see, and this proves it is valid. What can be said of all a philosopher who was fully able to touch the presence and validity of these effects? (I mean, not a philosopher of science, but a philosopher who has done research in finding a broad sense its purpose, not unlike anyone who makes good use of their power of observation and reasoning).

3) In my personal life-long search, I realized a basic fact. The philosophy, indeed to life itself, is seeking the truth, impelled by love of truth, that the best compliance (always temporary) of thought with what it actually is. Therein lies the truth - our truth, always looking and always failing, as Plato said, the real truth, which is not in conformity of thought with itself, but of thought with the reality of the world, the person, society, of history in which we walk every day. So Thomas was right, and so modern confirmed with powerful originality John Henry Newman, extraordinary model of philosopher as well as a theologian and a man.

4) Let us ask then: what is truth in this broad sense in the method Stagnaro? We say, of course, first the diagnostic accuracy, which does not require the observer (the operator does not speak ...) set a preliminary theoretical (quantum physics to medicine in all its classical branches), but the use of more trivial qualities of observation and reasoning. This is what I see personally from when I touch the diagnostic data provided by the method Stagnaro, and who have done and are doing the shouting unwise to miracle or witchcraft which means not realize that we are facing a new universe more consistent with the real universe, which opens up before us with the evidence of concrete evidence, but not at all accessible by all including in its deepest sense. Apart from the ignorance of most, not all of which are responsible, is an impediment to understanding this model distorted lodged in the minds of some of previous convictions. One of the earliest and fiercest opponents of the obtuse method Stagnaro years ago in a nutshell (but already he could see clearly the foundation carrier) was one of the last followers (who I have known) of the materialistic-positivistic and evolutionary vision 'lumbrosiana comtiana' about man and nature: this was the conceptual basis which prevented him to throw open their minds to visions as true and airy.

5) the accuracy and speed of diagnosis results in a fundamental first release of the patient from iatrogenic Terror in all its aspects, personal and social. What is the iatrogenic terror? The fear of illness, which in turn generates disease. Many situations create it, and rightly

so: the general pollution, food adulteration, the spread of new diseases ... .. Others have evoked even every day by those who seek to prevent social ills: the campaign for example against seasonal flu or cancer of various kinds are instinctively think that we are all on the verge of this or that illness, generating an understandable form of psychosis. In this social hovering syndrome (which obviously has implications overdrawn in big business ...) does it address only the safety and timeliness of diagnosis. It is not, as is evident, better only psychological, but a human in a broad sense, which concerns the welfare of people in general.

6) At the same time dismantling the perverse mechanism whereby the perpetual reference to the instrumental analysis becomes increasingly inert minds of practitioners, not more careful in their signs and the typical disease, so that the doctor so often becomes just a link in a chain of transmission by burdening the patient's medication presumptive awaiting analysis that sometimes the doctor fails to understand and evaluate exactly, word for rubber-stamping a bureaucrat remote theoretical, less and less able to issue a diagnosis at first. This weighs heavily on the doctor-patient relationship, which has become impersonal and distant relationship of trust: the patient reduced in number in many other numbers, all involved in an affair tortuous and painstaking task in which stand out only the numbers of staff to Health Units (whose salaries often account for all financial resources) and the monstrous chasms that absorb the deductions that each of us contributes to this deficit law system.

7) this arises from this inaccuracy, and then the general insecurity that make the problem a health issue, both personal and social of the first magnitude. The intervention reported in due honor by the bedside method Stagnaro (and applied by him in many years of practice of medicine according to the dictates of Hippocrates) brings the work of the doctor to measure his human and scientific authentic relationship between people, when the doctor once again is able to take an interest as his fellow-sufferer to another (and this is the original meaning of the term "medical care"), not only as a source of income. I think this is the fundamental value that is evidenced by the method Stagnaro, considered by the philosopher (and by the common man), but there are other equally important as the economy, so the health will not be a bureaucratic bandwagon devouring taxpayers' money to maintain an army of officials and little more. And again in the future by projecting the thought, the method Stagnaro allow an appeal to the much less medicine, more and more popular today because of diagnostic uncertainty, and many smaller migration "journeys of hope" to health care that are sometimes the only illusions. The instrumental analysis will still play a role, but much reduced and not especially prominent: the discretion of the doctor will again be a priority and to direct the diagnostic process with an exercise of rationality that will awaken his scientific curiosity and create a fabric of knowledge and experience richer from the bottom up, not only by specialists, as today soles.

8) This highlights an aspect but it is secondary. The own method Stagnaro that this is an open method, in keeping with their lives (if they understand exactly where the principles and applications POSSIBLE). It will expand to infinity of the biological reality: it follows that the physician will be constantly urged to be a researcher and investigator on his own in various fields.

9) Finally, let's say, for clarity and consistency: the method Stagnaro is not universal or a priori so miraculous. It allows you to find what you want, and what you are looking with knowledge of the facts, namely, first, the anatomy and anatomical functional context, which must be dominated with full knowledge of the biological interrelationships and not only that bond himself the various organs of the human body and ensure that any

modification of either of the others and then reflect on the whole and vice versa. This organic vision and global strategy, let me remind you, is the result of the contemporary scientific vision (in particular quantum), and philosophical insights sprung back to England in the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries: just remember the name of the philosopher and theologian Joseph Butler, the great master of ideal John Henry Newman, who has an overview of current and extraordinary expression.

10) What is sought depends of course on what has already been found, after the foundation of knowledge that I already own. These provide a framework that you need to become accustomed to understand it exactly, is a framework that has its own characteristics - of course I speak of the "new boring" of the "brave new world", to quote Orwell, quantum physics and the method Stagnaro - that does not coincide exactly with the framework provided by the medical classics. It 's a universe largely new, and you need to go into it, understand it and master it in order to make sound judgments and consistent. This requires new skills and creates: it is a new science, which must be built patiently step by step, through the cooperation of scholars from research in the field according to their own personal research purposes will gradually a joint fund of awareness and knowledge growing. This factor will be an essential element of cooperation also in human terms, effectively creating the atmosphere conducive to rebirth ourselves in today in special the first stone.

11) These are some of the above considerations suggested by a dispassionate examination and realistic. I do not speak of progress possible in those countries and in those areas of the world such improperly "backward", in which the bureaucratic weight of a Western-style health would be unacceptable, and largely devoid of positive effects, say only that the adoption of Stagnaro method will be decisive for those countries that already have a national health system, and for others who have not yet and will be able to structure it on a firmer foundation.